Randomized Deep Structured Prediction for Discourse-level Processing

Manuel Widmoser^{*1}, Maria Leonor Pacheco^{*2}, Jean Honorio², Dan Goldwasser²

¹University of Salzburg, Austria ² Purdue University, USA

*equal contribution

Problems

- Many discourse-level tasks require accounting for longer texts
- Learning highly expressive models is challenging
- Constrained inference comes at high computational cost

[instead of just sentences]

[deep structured prediction]

[the more dependencies, the higher the cost]

Contributions

- Combine expressive representations and inference
- Substitute exact inference by randomized inference
- Explore two challenging structured prediction problems:
 - 1. Argument Mining
 - 2. Stance Prediction

Argument Mining

essay

Living and studying overseas

It is every student's desire to study at a good university and experience a new environment. While some students study and live overseas to achieve this, some prefer to study home because of the difficulties of living and studying overseas. In my opinion, one who studies overseas will gain many skills throughout this experience for several reasons.

First, living and studying overseas is an irreplaceable experience when it comes to learn standing on your own feet. One who is living overseas will of course struggle with loneliness, living away from family and friends but those difficulties will turn into valuable experiences in the following steps of life. Moreover, the one will learn living without depending on anyone else. Also, employers are mostly looking for people who have international and language skills. Becoming successful in this study will give the student an edge in job market. Therefore, one who has studied and lived overseas will become more eligible for the job than his/ her peers.

...

- $L = \{ (E_1, \text{ support}), (E_2, \text{ attack}), (E_3, \text{ support}), ... \}$

predict those

• $N = \{(N_1, MC), (N_2, C), (N_3, P), (N_4, P), ...\}$ • $E = \{(E_1, (N_2, N_1)), (E_2, (N_3, N_2)), (E_3, (N_4, N_2)), ...\}$







Inference



Can we get a competitive model?

AD³: Martins et al., 2015: <u>http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2789288</u>

[exact inference]

- Draw tree structure at random
- Label and score the tree
- Improve greedy locally \bullet
- Repeat \bullet





Base vs Full





thread

- Label nodes and edges
- Tree structure given
- Much larger trees





yeah but they also might not care! i mean they might have a gun themselves!

Stance Prediction



Base vs AC



enforces the same stance for all posts by the same author

Experiments: Argument Mining

r



- UKP Dataset: Stab and Gurevych, 2017: <u>https://doi.org/10.1162/COLI_a_00295</u> - reported scores: macro F1 for nodes and edge-labels, positive F1 for edges

nodes	edges	edge-labels
83.0	57.6	68.0
83.2	58.2	68.4
82.8	58.4	68.4
83.1	61.2	69.2
83.7	62.0	68.5
83.8	62.6	68.4

Experiments: Stance Prediction



- 4Forums Dataset from the Internet Argument Corpus: Walker et al., 2012

- reported scores: averaged accuracies over 4 issues (abortion, evolution, gay marriage, gun control)

nodes	edge-labels	
70.7	68.3	
70.1	68.2	
68.9	69.0	
82.3	81.5	
81.8	79.5	
81.7	80.9	
2: <u>https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/N12-1072/</u>		

Inference Analysis



Conclusion

- We studied the effectiveness of randomized inference for deep structured prediction
- Positive results for two challenging discourse-level tasks
- Highly competitive results at a lower computational cost

[an efficient alternative to exact inference]